

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,186	03/03/2004	Mark Shu	M190.148.101 / P-11480.00	4896
DICKE, BILL	7590 10/19/200 IG & CZAJA	EXAMINER		
FIFTH STREE	ET TOWERS	STEWART, ALVIN J		
	TFTH STREET, SUITE IS. MN 55402	. 2250	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/792,186	SHU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alvin J. Stewart	3774	

	Alvin J. Stewart	3774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a avtansion fac				
Learning of the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaustic states of the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaustic states of the date for the control of the date of the set forth in (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further coil (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amandment (	DTOL 224)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment (	-10L-324).				
Applicant's reply less overcome the following rejection(s)							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	cplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31 and 54-58</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
10/15/09	/Alvin J Stewart/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3774					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner believes that the prior art still reads on the claimed subject matter. The Examiner believes that independent claim 1 is not positively claiming what the Applicant's representative is trying to say in the applicant's remarks. For example, it seems like the Applicant's representative is trying to claim two open spaces (first radial spacing and second radial spacing) created by the position of the suture band over the rim. However, the claims are not clearly disclosing the above subject matter. For example, the Examiner is interpreting the first radial spacing as a phisical structure and not an open space between the rim dhe suture band. The way that the Applicant's representative is claiming the first radial spacing, for the moment the Examiner as a name and not literally as a specific characteristic of the claimed name 9 first radial spacing). For the moment the Examiner does not need to find an open space between the rim and the suture band because is not positively claiming that open space.

The Applicant's representative must add structure limitations like below in order to clearly claim an open space created by two different radii and by the rim and the suture band.

The Applicant's representative must enter limitations like the following: the Applicant's representative must claim that the rim has a first radius and a second radius, wherein one of the radius extends deeper that the other radius. Also must disclose that the suture band covers the rim and that a radial open space is created in the first radius and second radius when the suture band covers the rim, and wherein relative to a circumferential interface between the rim and the suture band, the radial open space between the rim and the suture band decreases from a first radial open space to a second radial open space.

The applicant's representative should enter limitations like the above in order to overcome the rejection made by the Alonso reference. The Examiner believes that the limitations made by the Applicant's representative should positively claim the open spaces in order to distinguish the prior at from the application.